

REMARKS

1. The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 under 35 U. S. C. 102 (b or e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 6, 390,908). Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 are canceled.

2. The Examiner has indicated that claims 3, 4, 8, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Claims 3, 4, 8, and 9 are amended and rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. It is believed that claims 3, 4, 8, and 9 as amended are in condition of allowance.

3. The Examiner has indicated that claims 10-17 are allowed.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 are canceled. Now claims 3-4 and 8-17 are pending and are believed to be in condition of allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

April 27, 2005


Boris Tankhilevich

Reg. No. 38,689.

Patent Attorney for the Applicant.